# COMMENTARY



**Open Access** 

# Activation of signaling receptors: do ligands bind to receptor monomer, dimer, or both?

Xiaodong Pang and Huan-Xiang Zhou<sup>\*</sup>

## Abstract

A recent study by Dietz *et al.* using single-molecule fluorescence microscopy techniques demonstrates that, in the absence of the ligand InIB, the MET receptor exists as both a monomer and a dimer on the cell membrane, and addition of the ligand leads to increased MET dimerization. Under the crowded conditions of the cell membrane, dimer formation may be a common phenomenon for cell surface receptors. Ligand binding to both monomeric and dimeric receptors may provide parallel routes to receptor activation.

### Commentary

Cell surface receptors play important roles in the control of most fundamental cellular processes including cell cycle, fertilization, proliferation, cell migration, apoptosis, immune response, hematopoiesis, cancer, and atherosclerosis. A ligand binds to the extracellular domain (ECD) and activates the receptor. The signal then transmits into the intracellular domain (ICD) through the transmembrane domain, and stimulates a cascade of events inside the cell. Based on the presence or absence of catalytic domains, these receptors can be classified as receptors with enzymatic activity and receptors without it [1]. Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and cytokine receptors are two major types of such receptors, each with a single transmembrane helix. RTKs have a cytoplasmic catalytic domain harboring the tyrosine kinase activity. In contrast, cytokine receptors do not have intrinsic catalytic domains. Instead, a Janus kinase bound to the ICD of a cytokine receptor provides enzymatic activity. Ever since the discovery of the first RTK more than 30 years ago, intensive research efforts have led to important insights into the molecular mechanisms of receptor function [2,3]. A recent study by Dietz et al. [4] on the MET RTK has shed new light on the mechanism of receptor activation.

Although sharing a common gross molecular architecture, cytokine receptors and RTKs differ in many ways. Type I cytokine receptors such as erythropoietin receptor (EPOR), growth hormone receptor (GHR), and prolactin

\* Correspondence: hzhou4@fsu.edu

Department of Physics and Institute of Molecular Biophysics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA



Despite intensive studies, the mechanisms of receptor activation are still not completely understood. Historically two models have been proposed for receptor activation. Early studies of RTKs and cytokine receptors suggested a simple mechanism involving ligand-induced dimerization of receptors [11,12]. In the absence of ligands, the recep-



© 2013 Pang and Zhou; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



tors were hypothesized to be maintained in a monomeric, inactive state; binding to different sites on a monomeric ligand or a ligand dimer then brought two receptor molecules together, resulting in their activation. Later studies uncovered evidence for the existence of receptors as preformed dimers, including EPOR [13], GHR [14], PRLR [15], ErbB2/Neu receptor [16], and EGF receptor [17]. These studies suggest that receptor dimerization is necessary but not sufficient for activation; activation may require conformational changes and/or relative rotation of the receptor molecules. The later model is further delineated by a computational study [18] and has gained favor in recent years.

The above two mechanistic models involve two mutually exclusive receptor states in the absence of ligand: monomer or dimer. Could the two states co-exist? The recent study by Dietz *et al.* using single-molecule fluorescence microscopy techniques clearly demonstrates that, in the absence of ligand, the MET receptor exists as both monomer and dimer on the membrane of HeLa cells, and addition of the ligand InIB leads to increased MET dimerization. This raises the question: to what form of receptor does the ligand bind? Monomer, dimer, or perhaps both? For sure, the ligand could bind to the monomer; otherwise the dimer population would not increase.

Both mechanistic models seem to have something to like in the study of Dietz *et al.* Believers in ligandinduced dimerization will take heart in the ligandinduced increase in dimer population, whereas believers in preformed dimers will be pleased by the observation of the pre-existing dimer population. One question left unanswered by Dietz *et al.* is whether InIB binds exclusively to MET monomer, or InIB could also bind to the preformed dimer. Physically it seems hard to argue why the ligand would not bind to the preformed dimer. The dimer population observed by Dietz *et al.* on the cell membrane may not be unique to MET. The cell membrane is crowded by many membrane proteins, which are expected to favor dimer formation [19]. If the large population of preformed dimer does not participate in ligand binding and is thus kept in the inactive state, then a significant fraction of the receptor would be wasted.

If the ligand binds to the receptor in both the monomeric and the dimeric form, as we suggest here, then both the proposed mechanisms can operate at the same time (Figure 1). Both monomer binding and dimer binding will lead to the same activated complex. The dimer binding route may even provide thermodynamic and kinetic advantages over the monomer binding route. Indeed, there is evidence that EGF binds dimeric EGF receptor with higher affinity than with monomeric receptor [20].

The study of Dietz *et al.* provides new insights into the mechanism of MET receptor activation. The coexistence of receptor monomer and dimer may be common for other cell surface receptors. Full understanding of receptor activation is a major challenge for the future, due to their structural and functional diversities. Much remains to be learned.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

XP and HXZ wrote the paper. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Grant GM88187.

#### Received: 23 May 2013 Accepted: 23 May 2013 Published: 3 June 2013

#### References

- Schenk PW, Snaar-Jagalska BE: Signal perception and transduction: the role of protein kinases. BBA-Mol Cell Res 1999, 1449:1–24.
- Carpenter G, King L, Cohen S: Epidermal growth-factor stimulates phosphorylation in membrane preparations in vitro. *Nature* 1978, 276:409–410.
- Gschwind A, Fischer OM, Ullrich A: Timeline The discovery of receptor tyrosine kinases: targets for cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2004, 4:361–370.
- Dietz MS, Haße D, Ferraris DM, Göhler A, Niemann H, Heilemann M: Singlemolecule photobleaching reveals increased MET receptor dimerization upon ligand binding in intact cells. *BMC Biophys* 2013, 6:6.
- Hubbard SR: Structural analysis of receptor tyrosine kinases. Prog Biophys Mol Bio 1999, 71:343–358.
- Wiesmann C, Fuh G, Christinger HW, Eigenbrot C, Wells JA: Crystal structure at 1.7 angstrom resolution of VEGF in complex with domain 2 of the Flt-1 receptor. *Cell* 1997, 91:695–704.
- Leppanen VM, Prota AE, Jeltsch M, Anisimov A, Kalkkinen N, Strandin T, Lankinen H, Goldman A, Ballmer-Hofer K, Alitalo K: Structural determinants of growth factor binding and specificity by VEGF receptor 2. P Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107:2425–2430.
- Plotnikov AN, Schlessinger J, Hubbard SR, Mohammadi M: Structural basis for FGF receptor dimerization and activation. *Cell* 1999, 98:641–650.
- Ogiso H, Ishitani R, Nureki O, Fukai S, Yamanaka M, Kim JH, Saito K, Sakamoto A, Inoue M, Shirouzu M, Yokoyama S: Crystal structure of the complex of human epidermal growth factor and receptor extracellular domains. *Cell* 2002, 110:775–787.
- Niemann HH, Jager V, Butler PJG, van den Heuvel J, Schmidt S, Ferraris D, Gherardi E, Heinz DW: Structure of the human receptor tyrosine kinase met in complex with the Listeria invasion protein InIB. *Cell* 2007, 130:235–246.

Page 3 of 3

- 11. Schlessinger J: Signal transduction by allosteric receptor oligomerization. *Trends Biochem Sci* 1988, **13**:443–447.
- Fuh G, Cunningham BC, Fukunaga R, Nagata S, Goeddel DV, Wells JA: Rational design of potent antagonists to the human growth-hormone receptor. *Science* 1992, 256:1677–1680.
- Livnah O, Stura EA, Middleton SA, Johnson DL, Jolliffe LK, Wilson LA: Crystallographic evidence for preformed dimers of erythropoietin receptor before ligand activation. *Science* 1999, 283:987–990.
- Brown RJ, Adams JJ, Pelekanos RA, Wan Y, McKinstry WJ, Palethorpe K, Seeber RM, Monks TA, Eidne KA, Parker MW, Waters MJ: Model for growth hormone receptor activation based on subunit rotation within a receptor dimer. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2005, 12:814–821.
- Clevenger CV, Gadd SL: Ligand-independent dimerization of the human prolactin receptor isoforms: functional implications. *Mol Endocrinol* 2006, 20:2734–2746.
- Burke CL, Lemmon MA, Coren BA, Engelman DM, Stern DF: Dimerization of the p185neu transmembrane domain is necessary but not sufficient for transformation. *Oncogene* 1997, 14:687–696.
- Moriki T, Maruyama H, Maruyama IN: Activation of preformed EGF receptor dimers by ligand-induced rotation of the transmembrane domain. J Mol Biol 2001, 311:1011–1026.
- Pang XD, Zhou HX: A common model for cytokine receptor activation: combined scissor-like rotation and self-rotation of receptor dimer induced by class I cytokine. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2012, 8:e1002427.
- Zhou HX: Crowding effects of membrane proteins. J Phys Chem B 2009, 113:7995–8005.
- Zhou M, Felder S, Rubinstein M, Hurwitz DR, Ullrich A, Lax I, Schlessinger J: Real-time measurements of kinetics of EGF binding to soluble EGF receptor monomers and dimers support the dimerization model for receptor activation. *Biochemistry* 1993, **32**:8193–8198.

#### doi:10.1186/2046-1682-6-7

**Cite this article as:** Pang and Zhou: **Activation of signaling receptors: do ligands bind to receptor monomer, dimer, or both?** *BMC Biophysics* 2013 **6**:7.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit